



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,327		11/21/2003	Kenneth F. Fennewald	7377-000005/US	2615	
28997	7590	02/15/2005		EXAMINER		
HARNESS 7700 BONE	-	EY, & PIERCE, P.	FASTOVSKY, LEONID M			
ST. LOUIS,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3742		

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Annlie	cation No.	A 1: 4(-)					
				Applicant(s)	0				
Office Action Summary			9,327	FENNEWALD ET AL.	-				
	omec Action Gummary	Exami		Art Unit					
	The MAIL INC DATE - CUI		M Fastovsky	3742					
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communi Reply	cation appears on	the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF THE PROVISION OF THE	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n unication. ) days, a reply within the tutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a reply statutory minimum of thirty (3 nd will expire SIX (6) MONTH:	y be timely filed  10) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication (25 U.S.C. § 133)	ation.				
Status									
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>13</u> Novembe	er 2004.						
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	b) This action							
3)□ 8									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims								
4)⊠ (	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the a	oplication.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12,15-18 and 24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	-							
	☐ Claim(s) 1-11,13,14 and 19-23 is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•							
8) <u> </u>	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or electio	n requirement.						
Applicatio	n Papers								
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the	Evaminer							
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 <i>November 2004</i> is/are:</u> a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	applicant may not request that any object								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			• •	1(4)				
	ne oath or declaration is objected to								
	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	-		•				
-	,	ar faraian muiault.		10(-) (1) (0)					
	cknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	or foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
	. Certified copies of the priority of	locumente have h	oon rossived						
	. Certified copies of the priority of			ication No					
	. Copies of the certified copies of								
J	application from the Internation			beived in this National Stage					
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action	•	` ''	eived.					
A44.a.b									
Attachment(s	of References Cited (PTO-892)		<b>∧</b> □ •	(OTO 442)					
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)	4) Interview Sumi Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date					
3) 🔲 Informa	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P		5) Notice of Inform	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date		6)						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Receipt of Applicant's amendment filed on 11/13/04 is acknowledged.

Upon further consideration the Restriction/Election of claim 4 has been withdrawn and it is treated on its merits in this Office Action.

However, claim 12 is still withdrawn because it belongs to the non-elected species of Fig. 4.

#### Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains an extraneous word "comprises". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 3-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayashi et al (5,504,307).

Hayashi teaches a thin film heater comprising at least one resistive layer 5a, the resistive layer made out of NiCr (col. 5, lines 25-44), thus inherently having sufficient

Application/Control Number: 10/719,327 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

temperature coefficient of resistance characteristics such that the resistive layer is a heater element and a temperature sensor, and a two-wire controller 61 connected to the resistive layer of the heater 51 (Fig. 17), wherein the controller determines temperature of the thin film heater using the resistance of the resistance layer 5a and controls the heater temperature accordingly.

As for claim 4, Hayashi teaches a thermally spayed heater (col. 1, lines 59-63).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi in view of Little (6,043,467).

Hayashi discloses substantially the claimed invention comprising a heater system (Fig. 4) comprising a film heater defining a substrate 2, a dielectric layer 4, a resistive layer 5a, a protective layer 6, and a two-wire controller 61, but does not disclose a thick film heater. Little discloses a thick film heater having conductive track 3 made out of nickel (col. 3, lines 1-10) and a controller (col. 6, lines 1-10). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Hayashi's invention to include a thick film as taught by Little in order to carry control of the temperature in Hayasi's heater system.

7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi in view of Miyata et al (6,448,538).

Application/Control Number: 10/719,327

Art Unit: 3742

Hayashi teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach a sol-gel heater and thermal spray. Miyata teaches a sol-gel heater (col. 10, lines 10-20) and thermal spray (col. 20, lines 36-44). it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Hayashi's invention to include a sol-gel heater in order to protect the exposed edge fro the outside covering with a ceramic film as taught by Miyata (col. 10, lines 12-17).

Page 4

8. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi in view of Haas (6,770,848).

Hayshi teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach a controller with DC and AC control. Haas teaches a film heater 50 comprising a controller 30 capable of operating with DC or AC control. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Hayashi's invention to use a controller with AC or DC control as taught by Haas in order to control calculation of the resistance of the resistive layer of Hayashi.

9. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi in view of Lumsden (6,489,742).

Hayashi teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach a controller having an angle firing and a shunt resistor. Lumsden teaches a controller 8 comprises an angle firing (col. 7, lines 1-10) and shunt resistor (claim 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Hayashi's invention to include a controller comprising an angle firing and a shunt resistor for controlling current as taught by Lumsden (col. 6, lines 55-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/719,327 Page 5

Art Unit: 3742

10. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi in view of Waggoner et al (6,752,491).

Hayashi teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach a controller with firmware. Waggoner teaches a heater resistor having a controller 80 comprising firmware. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Hayashi's invention to include a controller comprising firmware in order to control various functions as taught by Waggoner (col. 2, lines 63-67).

11. Claims 11 and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi in view of Godwin.

Hayashi discloses substantially the claimed invention including a controller, but does not disclose a microprocessor and a method of operating a layered heater. Godwin discloses a heater system comprising film heaters 63,65 and 67, a controller and a microprocessor (col. 7, lines 15-30). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Hayashi's invention to include a microprocessor as taught by Godwin in order to carry logic operation means (col. 7, lines 16-23) that are also applied to a method of operating a layer heater of Hayashi.

## Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11, 13-14 and 19-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,327

Art Unit: 3742

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M Fastovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-4778. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leonid M Fastovsky

2/8/05

Examiner

Art Unit 3742

Imf

ROBIN O. EVANS PRIMARY EXAMINER